

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
FO. Box 1450
Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450
www.inpin.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/509,391	07/07/2000	JEROEN KRIJGSVELD	702-000648	1936	
75	90 08/18/2003				
BARBARA E JOHNSON			EXAMINER		
700 KOPPERS BUILDING 436 SEVENTH AVENUE PITTSBURGH, PA 15219-1818			SNEDDEN, SHERIDAN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1653	g l	
			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)			
	09/509,391	KRIJGSVELD ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Sheridan K Snedden	1653			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe					
THE REPLY FILED 17 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION of this application of the appeal fee); or (3) a timely	N FOR ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 1 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing in FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply cellater than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) Ithey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7.☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☐ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other: Class Rep 1					
Christopher S. F. Low Supervisory patent examiner Technology Center 1999					

Continuati n Sh et (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has amended claim 36 to substitute the phrase "consisting essentially of" for "comprising" and contends that this amendment overcomes the cited art used under USC 102 and 103. This arugement is not persuasive as it is not clear in the specification or the claims how the peptide of SEQ ID NO: 12 or 6 would differ in both structure and function of the peptides of the prior art. Using the example of NAP-2, it is not clear how the lost of 2 N-terminal peptides alters the functional characeristics of the claimed peptide and the claims contain no limitation as to the function of the peptide. As such, the language "consisting essentially of" would posses the same meaning as "comprising" when read into the claim. The peptides of Baggiolini *et al.* are essentially identical to the claimed peptides and comprise the full sequences of SEQ ID NO: 12 and 6. Additionally, the above amendment does not provide andecedant basis for any of the limitations of claims 37, 40 and 52-55 as asserted by the Applicant. The rejection under USC112, 2nd para. is maintained.